

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,612	07/25/2003	Shushi Ikeda	240733US0	9365	
22850	7590 11/07/2005	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			YEE, DEBORAH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,612	IKEDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deborah Yee	1742	

		Debolail Fee	1772	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>21 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
thi pla a f	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance the periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	as of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of r than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropi originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 Th filii a f	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMEND</u>				
(a)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	reiected claims.	
(-)	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. 🔲 ті	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗌 A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):	•	
no	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al n-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_
ho Th	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:			
	aim(s) objected to: ; aim(s) rejected: 1-5.			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-9.			•
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an snot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🛛 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
13. 🗌 O	ther:		Deveraly	el
			Deborah Yee Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although process of making is different, there is no convincing evidence (e.g. compartive test data) to show claimed alloy carbides materially differ from the prior art.